



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 7954	
09/692,084	10/19/2000	Katherine S. Lam	SOL-130		
7590 04/02/2004			EXAMINER		
Barry R. Lipsit	tz	LANIER, BENJAMIN E			
Law Offices of 1755 Main Street	Barry R. Lipsitz	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Monroe, CT 0			2132		
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004	13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

£

	Application No.		Applicant(s)	95			
	09/692,084		LAM ET AL.	•			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Benjamin E Lanie		2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover	sneet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min vill apply and will expire , cause the application to	iver, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication,			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	<u>March 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims				ne merits is			
4) Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-12 and 14-26 is/are pending	in the application	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consider	ation.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-5, 7-12, 14-21, 23-26</u> is/are rejec	ted.						
7) Claim(s) 22 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2000 is/are:	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examir	ier.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	uon.					
•	armier.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	n nainaitu undar 26	: 11 C C S 110/a	) (d) or (f)				
<ul><li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	i phonty under 3:	0.5.C. 9 119(a	)-(u) or (i).				
<u> </u>	s have been reco	ivod					
_	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
<u> </u>	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bu  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Otage			
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application).			
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li> </ul>	* -						
Attachment(s)				•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 🗌 5) 🗍 6) 🗍		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				
0.0				<del></del>			

Application/Control Number: 09/692,084

Art Unit: 2132

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 03 March 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that the Katta reference does not disclose inserting dummy information into the actual data samples is not persuasive because this limitation is not present in the current claims. Katta does disclose that dummy patterns are inserted into the compressed video data (Abstract, lines 2-4).
- 2. Applicant's argument that the Katta reference does not disclose determining a dynamic range of each sample of digital content, where the dynamic range is defined by a most significant data bit of the data sample is not persuasive because with the dynamic range of Applicant's invention was defined by Mr. Lipsitz, in the interview held 13 November 2003, as being significant with respect to value rather than bit position and Katta discloses scrambling occurs so that only the relevant data is scrambled (Col. 10, line 53 Col. 12, line 11).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/692,084 Page 3

Art Unit: 2132

international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-12, 14-16, 18-21, 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Katta, U.S. Patent No. 5,706,346. Referring to claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 12, 16, 18, 19, 23-26, Katta discloses scrambling apparatus wherein video data is analyzed before it is scrambled to determine of there is dummy data inserted therein. Upon determination of dummy data in the video data a switch is used to control the scrambling so that only the relevant data is scrambled (Col. 10, line 53 – Col. 12, line 11), which meets the limitation of determining a dynamic range of bits defined by a most significant non-zero data bit and scrambling the selected number of LSBs. Once scrambled the data is partially but not completely discernible (Col. 2, line 13), which meets the limitation of the scrambled samples being degraded but still recognizable.

Referring to claims 3, 14, Katta discloses that the video data may be scrambled by frame units (Col. 3, lines 29-30).

Referring to claims 4, 15, Katta discloses that the scrambling key can be pseudo random (Col. 1, lines 24-30).

Referring to claims 9-11, 20, 21 Katta discloses that the scrambling key can be included (embedded) in the scrambled sample (Col. 6, lines 51-59).

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/692,084

Art Unit: 2132

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not disclose the scrambling of the scrambling key after the sample has been descrambled.

Page 4

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin E Lanier whose telephone number is 703-305-7684. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th0 7:30am-5:00pm, F 7:30am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703)305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/692,084

Art Unit: 2132

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin E. Lanier

GILBERTO BARRON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100